3

4

5 6

7

13

14

15

17

21

27

28

8 CECIL R. BERRY,

9 Plaintiff.

10

11 NEW YORK LIFE INSURANCE

v.

12 COMPANY,

Defendant.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

No. 4:16-cv-05006-SAB

ORDER DENYING STIPULATED MOTION FOR

PROTECTIVE ORDER

Before the Court is the parties' Stipulation and Protective Order, ECF No. 16 20. The motion was heard without oral argument.

The parties ask the Court to sign a Protective Order that was drafted and 18 agreed to by the parties. It is this Court's practice to not enter general Protective 19 Orders that simply set forth the parties' agreement for handling "confidential" 20 materials.

The parties are free to contract between themselves regarding disclosure of 22 information produced in discovery, and pursue appropriate remedies in the event 23|| of breach; however, the Court will not be party to such an agreement. If, in the 24 future, the parties wish to file specific items of discovery in the court record and 25 protect such items from public access, the Court will entertain an application for a 26 narrowly tailored protective order.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. The parties' Stipulation and Protective Order, ECF No. 20, is **DENIED**.

ORDER DENYING **STIPULATED MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER** ~ 1

IT IS SO ORDERED. The District Court Executive is hereby directed to

file this Order and provide copies to counsel.

DATED this 24th day of October, 2016.

Stanley A. Bastian United States District Judge